Systematic review of rosacea treatments

Esther J. van Zuuren, MD,^a Aditya K. Gupta, MD, PhD, FRCP(C),^{b,c} Melissa D. Gover, BSc,^c Mark Graber, MD,^d and Sally Hollis, MSc^e Leiden, The Netherlands; Toronto and London, Ontario, Canada; Iowa City, Iowa; and Lancaster, United Kingdom

Background: Rosacea is a common chronic skin and ocular condition. It is unclear which treatments are most effective. We have conducted a Cochrane review of rosacea therapies.¹ This article is a distillation of that work.

Objective: We sought to assess the evidence for the efficacy and safety of rosacea therapies.

Methods: Multiple databases were systematically searched. Randomized controlled trials in people with moderate to severe rosacea were included. Study selection, assessment of methodologic quality, data extraction, and analysis were carried out by two independent researchers.

Results: In all, 29 studies met inclusion criteria. Topical metronidazole is more effective than placebo (odds ratio 5.96, 95% confidence interval 2.95-12.06). Azelaic acid is more effective than placebo (odds ratio 2.45, 95% confidence interval 1.82-3.28). Firm conclusions could not be drawn about other therapies.

Limitations: The quality of the studies was generally poor.

Conclusions: There is evidence that topical metronidazole and azelaic acid are effective. There is some evidence that oral metronidazole and tetracycline are effective. More well-designed, randomized controlled trials are required to provide better evidence of the efficacy and safety of other rosacea therapies. (J Am Acad Dermatol 2007;56:107-15.)

R osacea is a chronic condition characterized by recurrent episodes of facial flushing, erythema, papules, pustules, and telangiectasia in a symmetrical, facial distribution.¹⁻⁴ Several welldefined types of rosacea are described including erythematotelangiectatic rosacea, papulopustular rosacea, phymatous rosacea, ocular rosacea, and the variant granulomatous rosacea.^{3,4} Ocular rosacea

From the Department of Dermatology B1-Q, Leiden University Medical Center^a; Division of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Center and the University of Toronto^b; Mediprobe Research Inc, London^c; Emergency Medicine and Family Medicine, University of Iowa College of Medicine^d; and University of Lancaster.^e

Conflicts of interest: None identified.

- This manuscript is based on an earlier publication by van Zuuren et al, $^{\rm 1}$ copyright Cochrane Library, reproduced with permission.
- Reprint requests: Esther J. van Zuuren, MD, Department of Dermatology B1-Q, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands. E-mail: E.J.van_Zuuren@ lumc.nl.

0190-9622/\$32.00

Abbreviations used: CI: confidence interval OR: odds ratio RCT: randomized controlled trial

can develop without involvement of other areas of the skin and may wax and wane.^{2,5} Rosacea usually presents in the second or third decade of life and has a prevalence of up to 10%.⁶ It is especially common in fair-skinned people of Celtic and northern European heritage, with women more often affected than men.⁷⁻⁹ However, men will more often progress to the later stages.⁹

Traditionally, rosacea has been managed with a treatment tailored to the specific symptoms presented.⁷ A brief overview of these therapies is presented in Table I.^{7,9-24} Other treatments tried include facial massage (for edema), spironolactone, beta-blockers, dapsone, oral contraceptives, benzoyl peroxide, bifonazole cream, and treatment of *Helicobacter pylori*.^{16,24} Unfortunately, many of these remain poorly studied. This review was performed to systematically evaluate rosacea treatments including the potential impact of nonpharmacologic

Funding sources: None.

Published online November 10, 2006.

 $[\]circledast$ 2007 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2006.04.084

Table I. Rosacea therapies⁸⁻²⁴

Signs/symptoms	Treatments					
Limited no. of papules/pustules	Topical therapies	Metronidazole (0.75%, Clindamycin lotion Permethrin 5% cream Tretinoin cream Sulfacetamide 10%/sulf Azelaic acid (15% gel, 2	ur 5%			
	Proposed therapies	Tacrolimus Topical NADH				
More extensive skin lesions	Oral antibiotics	Tetracycline Ampicillin Metronidazole Erythromycin	Possible side effects including gastrointestinal symptoms, photosensitivity, candidal vaginitis, reduction in oral contraceptive efficacy	Metronidazole side effects may include neuropathy and mutagenicity		
	Oral/topical therapy combination	Discontinue oral treatment once sufficient efficacy noted Maintenance therapy with topical medications				
Vascular symptoms	Pulse dye laser, intense pulsed light					
Severe or persistent rosacea	Oral isotretinoin	13- <i>cis</i> -retinoic acid	Possible side effects include: dry sensitive skin, dry mucosae, dry eyes, pruritis, dermatitis, myalgia, elevated liver enzymes, cholesterol and triglyceride elevation Possible fetal abnormalities for women who become pregnant	Routine monitoring of liver functions, cholesterol, triglycerides required		
Control of flushing	Oral hypotensives	Clonidine Rilmenidine				
Rhinophyma	Oral Laser therapy Surgical intervention	Low-dose isotretinoin				
Ocular rosacea	Oral antibiotics Topicals	Tetracycline Metronidazole Fusidic acid gel				

NADH, reduced form of ß-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide.

agents such as foods (eg, spicy food), certain cosmetics, and sunscreens. $^{10}\,$

Unfortunately, there is no universally accepted clinical definition of rosacea, and there are no standard validated tools for assessing the severity of rosacea. As rosacea can cause shame, embarrassment, low self-esteem, anxiety, lack of confidence, and depression, our primary outcome was the patients' self-assessment of rosacea, and their perception of their quality of life.⁸⁻¹⁰

Table II. Criteria used to assess the methodologic quality of randomized controlled trials for rosacea therapies

Quality assessment criteria:

- * Was the randomization procedure used appropriate?
- * Was the allocation concealment adequate?
- * Was an intention-to-treat analysis used?
- * Were health workers and study personnel blind to treatment?
- * Were participants blind to treatment? Aside from the intervention, were groups treated equally?
- * Was the study duration fixed/adequate (at least 4 weeks)?
- Were number and timing of assessment points fixed?
- * Was there an acceptable description or definition of rosacea?
- * Was the site of evaluation recorded?
- **[†] Were concomitant medications permitted and recorded?
 Was previous oral and topical rosacea therapy stopped a minimum of 4 weeks before the initial assessment?
 Were the therapeutic interventions adequately described?
- Were adequate details about how to use/take the medication given to all participants?
- * Was the dropout rate less than 5%?

Modified¹ and used with permission.

*All these criteria must be "yes" to be high quality.

[†]Study must not allow concomitant medications that might change outcome.

METHODS

A systematic review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) was performed according to a prespecified protocol.¹

Search strategies

Two reviewers performed independent searches of the following 6 electronic databases: The Cochrane Skin Group Specialized Trials Register (February 2005), The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (February 2005), MEDLINE (1966-February 2005), EMBASE (1980-February 2005), Biosis (1970-March 2002), and Science Citation Index (1988-February 2005). In addition, the reference lists of all identified RCTs and key review articles were searched. Attempts were made to obtain details of unpublished and ongoing RCTs and grey literature through correspondence with authors and pharmaceutical companies.

Selection criteria

We considered all RCTs evaluating any type of intervention used to treat rosacea. Study participants had to be older than 19 years with moderate to severe rosacea as assessed by a physician. Two reviewers independently assessed these articles for eligibility. Any disagreement was resolved by discussion.

Study design quality assessment and data extraction

Study design was assessed by two reviewers as per the criteria in Table II. Studies meeting all the

criteria were considered high quality, whereas studies meeting some, but not all, were generally classified as intermediate. Studies classified as low quality were excluded from analysis. Supporting methodology descriptions for each criterion had to be present in the published text to merit the grading. Details of eligible trials were extracted and summarized using structured data collection forms.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome measures included impact on quality of life and participant-assessed changes in rosacea severity. Secondary outcome measures were physician-assessed changes in rosacea severity, physician's global evaluation (improvement defined as $\geq 50\%$ change), lesion counts (treatment success defined as >50% reduction), time needed for improvement, and duration of remission. Other outcomes included dropout rates and incidence of adverse events.

Analysis

Quantitative pooling was performed using odds ratio (OR) for categorical measures or weighted mean differences for continuous measures. Where study results were heterogeneous, the reasons for this were explored (eg, treatment or participant factors) and a random effects model was used to reflect the increased uncertainty. Investigation of the robustness of the conclusions according to the methodologic quality of the contributing studies was not practical because there were only a few studies contributing to each comparison; study quality was considered qualitatively when drawing conclusions.

Some studies used a split-face, within-patient design, where two interventions were allocated randomly to the left and right side of the face. Where possible, a conditional OR (based on the discordant cases only) was calculated; this can be interpreted in the same way as the ORs from parallel group studies.²⁵ However, the paired data necessary for this were sometimes unavailable, in which case marginal ORs (based on the overall rates for each treatment) were calculated and reported. These marginal ORs should be interpreted cautiously, because they differ from conditional ORs when there is correlation between the outcomes of the two treatments.

RESULTS

Description of studies and methodologic quality of included studies

Searches identified 71 possible RCTs. A total of 29 RCTs were included.^{21-23,26-51} Breneman et al³⁴ and Leyden et al⁵¹ described different outcome measures of the same study and Thiboutot et al⁴⁹ reported two RCTs in one publication. Most of the participants in the included studies had papulopustular rosacea and were between 40 and 50 years old; only two studies^{27,28} addressed ocular rosacea. Of the 71 studies, 41 were excluded because allocation concealment was inadequate, the study was not blinded, the dropout rate was more than 10%, or other major methodologic flaws,^{11,12,18,19,52-85} or because they were awaiting assessment.^{13,86,87} Of the 29 included studies, 8 were classified as high qual-ity.^{23,26,28-30,32,34,36} The remaining 21 trials were of intermediate quality.^{21,22,27,31,33,35,37-50} In only 14 of the 29 trials^{23,26,28-30,32,34-36,40,42,47,49} was there adequate blinding of treatment allocation. Blinding of outcome assessment was demonstrated in all except two studies.^{27,43} Intention-to-treat analysis was used in 17 of the 29 trials.^{21-23,26,28-32,34-39,49} For 14 studies the variability (SD or SE) of continuous measurements were completely or partially lacking, making these data unusable in a meta-analysis.^{21,30,32-34,36-38,41-43,46,48,50}

Analysis

The treatments could be categorized into 5 groups: topical metronidazole (15 trials), $^{21-23,27,29,30,32,33,36,38,39,42,43,48,50}$ oral antibiotics (8 trials), 23,26,28,40,44,45,47,50 topical azelaic acid (6 trials), 22,31,35,36,49 topical benzoyl peroxide combined with topical antibiotics (2 trials), 34,43 and other therapies (4 trials). 37,41,44,46 Five trials included comparisons in more than one category. 22,23,36,43,44 Even within these therapeutic categories, making comparisons and pooling of data was problematic because of heterogeneous study designs, skewed data, missing variability, and differences in comparators or dosing regimens. Only data on outcome measures from trials on topical metronidazole, topical azelaic acid, and oral tetracycline could be pooled. Most studies used numbers of papules or pustules as an outcome measure rather than a more clinically relevant measure, such as participant assessment of appearance. Below is a summary of the most important conclusions. For details and full reporting of the data, please refer to the complete Cochrane review as published in the Cochrane Library.¹

METRONIDAZOLE

Topical metronidazole versus placebo

Nine trials assessed the efficacy of topical metronidazole versus placebo.^{21,27,29,30,32,33,38,39,42} The treatment period ranged from 8 to 9 weeks in each trial, except for that of Dahl et al,²¹ which was 6 months. Three studies addressed self-assessed improvement of rosacea severity.^{30,32,42} Only data from two studies^{30,42} could be pooled (Fig 1, *A*) and there was clear evidence that metronidazole was more effective than placebo. Bleicher et al³² confirmed these data (OR 7.0; 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.5-20.0). Data on physician's global evaluation concerning improvement were similar to the patient-assessed measures in favor of metronidazole (OR 7.01; 95% CI 3.56-13.81).^{30,33,42} The other studies showed comparable data.^{21,27,29,32,38}

Most of the adverse events mentioned were mild, including pruritus, skin irritation, and dry skin. There were no significant differences in the number of adverse events between groups.

Topical azelaic acid versus topical metronidazole

There was no statistically significant difference in the patient self-assessment between topical azelaic acid and topical metronidazole.^{22,36} However, physicians rated the azelaic acid group more improved (OR 1.84; 95% CI 1.10-3.09).³⁶ The number of adverse events was lower in the metronidazole group (OR 4.56; 95% CI 2.07-10.03).³⁶ However, the severity of adverse events in both groups was reported as mild to moderate and mostly transient.

Topical metronidazole versus oral tetracycline

In two 8-week studies^{23,50} no statistically significant treatment difference was seen between metronidazole cream and (oxy)-tetracycline.

Study or sub-category	Topical metronidazol n/N	Placebo n/N	OR (fixed) 95% Cl	Weight %	OR (fixed) 95% CI
Nielsen 1983a ⁴² Bjerke 1989b ³⁰	25/40 43/50	8/37 24/47		47.36 52.64	6.04 [2.20, 16.61] 5.89 [2.20, 15.72]
Total (95% CI) Total events: 68 (Topical metror Test for heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 0$. Test for overall effect: Z = 4.96 (.00, df = 1 (P = 0.97), $I^2 = 0^6$	84	•	100.00	5.96 [2.95, 12.06]
			0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours placebo Favours met	100 ronidazol	
	cline versus placebo assessed improvement of ro	osacea			
Study or sub-category	Tetracycline n/N	Placebo n/N	OR (fixed) 95% CI	Weight %	OR (fixed) 95% CI
Marks 1971 ⁴⁰	14/20	9/19		100.00	2.59 [0.70, 9.64]
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 Favours placebo Favours te	5 10 tracycline	
Comparison: 02 Topical azel	aic acid versus placebo assessed improvement of ro	sacea			
	Azelaic cream	Placebo	OR (fixed) 95% CI	Weight %	OR (fixed) 95% Cl
	n/N	n/N			
Outcome: 02 Participant-a Study		n/N 22/38 71/165 56/166		9.52 48.66 41.82	3.22 [1.35, 7.66] 2.07 [1.33, 3.21] 2.71 [1.74, 4.23]

Fig 1. Meta-analytic comparisons of participant-assessed improvement between topical metronidazole and placebo (**A**), oral tetracycline and placebo (**B**), and topical azelaic acid and placebo (**C**). Modified¹ and used with permission. *CI*, Confidence interval; *OR*, odds ratio.

Metronidazole plus sunscreen (sun protection factor 15) versus placebo

A poorly designed study favored metronidazole plus sunscreen over placebo.⁴⁸

Topical metronidazole versus topical permethrin versus placebo

Koçak et al³⁹ investigated the efficacy and safety of permethrin for the treatment of rosacea. Permethrin was inferior to topical metronidazole because it showed no effect on pustules.

Benzoyl peroxide 5%/erythromycin 3% gel versus metronidazole gel

No significant difference was shown between the two therapies in 4 weeks (OR 0.92; 95% CI 0.21-4.11).⁴³

ORAL ANTIBIOTICS Oral metronidazole versus oral oxytetracycline

In one study, oral metronidazole and oral oxytetracycline were not statistically different at 12 weeks by both physician and patient assessment.⁴⁵ No adverse events were reported in either group.

Tetracycline versus placebo

One trial²⁸ compared oral oxytetracycline with placebo, and in two trials^{40,47} oral tetracycline was compared with placebo. These are both (older) tetracyclines with a similar molecular structure and the same pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile and so the results were pooled. Study duration ranged from 4 to 6 weeks. Bartholomew et al²⁸ addressed treatment efficacy in ocular rosacea.

There was insufficient evidence of any advantage of tetracycline over placebo according to patients'

Table III. Data to be included in future rosacea studies

Well-designed RCT with reporting the following⁸⁸ Proper description of randomization procedure and allocation concealment Data presented with appropriate summaries and analysis (including variability) The number of participants who started in and dropped out from each group Outcomes primarily based on: patient's opinion of treatment efficacy, quality of life, and patient-assessment Physician's opinion, reflected by global evaluation, lesion counts, and assessment of telangiectasia Use of intention-to-treat analysis

RCT, Randomized controlled trial. Modified¹ and used with permission.

assessment (Fig 1, *B*).⁴⁰ However, the dropout rate was unclear and the data were skewed with large variability. By physician assessment, tetracyclines are far more effective than placebo in the treatment of rosacea (OR 6.06; 95% CI 2.96-12.42). Repeated courses of treatment with the same dose achieved lasting remission 3 to 6 months after stopping treatment.²⁸

Clarithromycin and omeprazole versus placebo

These data were skewed with large variability and, thus, it is impossible to draw conclusions about this therapy.²⁶

AZELAIC ACID

Azelaic acid versus placebo

Four trials compared azelaic acid with placebo.^{31,35,49} The treatment period ranged from 9 to 12 weeks. Three studies^{31,49} showed a clear improvement in the azelaic acid group as rated by both physicians and patients (Fig 1, *C*). A split-face, within-patient study³⁵ confirmed these results (marginal OR 30.1; P < .0003).

The data on lesion counts did not include variability and the data in the study by Carmichael et al³⁵ were skewed.

More side effects were reported in the azelaic group (11.5%) versus the placebo group (5.7%) (OR 1.61; 95% CI 0.89-2.92).^{31,49} The same holds true for the study of Carmichael et al.³⁵ Side effects were considered mild and transient with burning, stinging, and irritation being reported most frequently.

BENZOYL PEROXIDE WITH ANTIBIOTICS Benzoyl peroxide 5%/clindamycin 1% gel versus placebo

The mean scores at 12 weeks for patient's global assessment in the study of Breneman et al^{34} were 1.54 (much to slightly better) in the benzoyl peroxide and clindamycin group versus 2.50 (slightly better to same) in the placebo group (authors state *P* = .0002).

The mean scores at 12 weeks for physician's global assessment were 1.85 (marked to definite improvement) versus 2.96 for placebo (minimal improvement) (authors state P = .0026).

The data showed large variability and some data were missing. Most data were skewed. Treatment-related adverse events included site burning and itching, both well-known side effects of benzoyl peroxide.³⁴ The same study using photographic assessments as outcomes came to similar same conclusion.⁵¹

OTHER

Benzoyl peroxide acetone versus placebo

At 4 weeks, benzoyl peroxide showed an improvement on the physician's global evaluation score compared with placebo (OR 3.17; 95% CI 1.08-9.31).⁴¹ The other measurements were also in favor of benzoyl peroxide (P < .05). Irritation and burning were frequently reported in both groups.

Oral metronidazole and topical hydrocortisone 1% cream versus oral placebo and topical hydrocortisone 1% cream

The physicians considered 10 of 14 participants treated with oral metronidazole improved versus only 2 of 13 participants on placebo (OR 13.75; 95% CI 2.05-92.04).⁴⁴ Only limited data were given in this study.⁴⁴

Rilmenidine versus placebo

Both the patients and the physicians believed that there was no significant difference between rilmenidine and placebo; neither treatment was effective.³⁷

Sodium sulfacetamide/sulfur versus placebo

The percentage of participants who considered themselves improved was 90% in the sodium sulfacetamide 10%/sulfur 5% group versus 58% in the placebo group (authors state P < .001).⁴⁶ The physicians shared this opinion. Adverse events were reported in 38% versus 29%, respectively. Application site reactions such as dryness, erythema, and

Table IV. Questions for which evidence is lacking in the literature

- 1. What is the efficacy and safety of commonly used treatments for rosacea (eg, tetracycline, minocycline, doxycycline, isotretinoin, and laser therapy)?
- 2. What is the efficacy and safety of treatments for ocular rosacea?
- 3. Is there any efficacy of dietary measures and/or sun-protective measures in the treatment of rosacea?
- 4. What is the efficacy and safety of benzoyl peroxide alone or in combination with topical antibiotics for rosacea?
- 5. Is permethrin effective and safe for rosacea treatment?

Studies to answer these questions should meet the criteria mentioned in Table III.

pruritus were the most commonly reported adverse events. It was unclear how many participants started in each group or how improvement was defined, and for continuous measurements the variability was large and the data skewed.⁴⁶

DISCUSSION

There were significant limitations in the quality of evidence available for most treatments. Although the clinical design of the included studies was in theory adequate, closer examination revealed that the quality of reported data was often low. Tables III and IV summarize recommendations for future rosacea studies.⁸⁸ It should be noted that although split-face studies can be efficient, they are subject to potential biases. Contamination may occur if active cream is accidentally transferred onto the placebo side. Furthermore, a treatment may have systemic effects, beneficial or harmful, which will affect both sides.

Our principal outcome measure, quality of life, was not assessed in any of the studies and only a few studies assessed the participant's own opinion. It is interesting to note that the investigators were more satisfied at the end of the study than the participants.^{35,36,40} For other diseases it is often the reverse. This may have implications for clinicians, as a patient's perception of a lack of sufficient efficacy can impact compliance and may lead to the use of alternative therapies. Topical metronidazole and azelaic acid appear to be effective and safe for short-term use, with the rate of adverse events in the placebo groups being similar to the active treatment groups. With regard to tetracycline, only 3 studies^{28,40,47} could be included in this review, only one of which assessed the opinion of the participants; however, this study failed to detect any difference from placebo. It is possible that in this case the study duration of 6 weeks was too short.

There were no studies evaluating other treatment options, such as erythromycin, dapsone, and topical tretinoin,^{7,16,89-92} that met the inclusion criteria. Three studies were included using benzoyl peroxide alone or in combination with topical antibiotics.^{34,41,43} Unfortunately, the quality of these studies was suboptimal. The same holds true for the study with permethrin.³⁹ Both benzoyl peroxide and permethrin are well-known drugs and further investigation in the treatment of rosacea may be beneficial.

No studies could be included addressing dietary or sun-protective measures; however, two studies did combine treatment with a sun protection factor.^{39,48} Although not really substantiated, most people with rosacea are given the advice to avoid trigger factors, (eg, spicy foods, alcohol, and sunlight).

Only two trials could be included on treatment of ocular rosacea,^{27,28} even though almost 60% of people with rosacea have ocular involvement.^{27,66,79,91} Although often mild, the ocular presentation can be both severe and debilitating. There was insufficient evidence for the efficacy of topical metronidazole.²⁷ Oral oxytetracycline seems to be effective for ocular rosacea,²⁸ although only the opinion of the physician was reported.

A very interesting treatment seems to be low-dose doxycycline (20 mg twice a day),^{77,93} which is a subantimicrobial dose that reduces inflammation. Other potential advantages of this treatment include lessening the risks of *Propionibacterium* acne's resistance to tetracyclines and lowering the incidence of tetracycline-induced adverse events. Unfortunately, even though they are commonly used to treat rosacea, no RCTs evaluating doxycycline, minocycline, isotretinoin, or laser therapy could be included in this review (most often because of inadequate study design). There is an urgent need for better quality, adequately designed RCTs on the commonly used treatments for rosacea.

REFERENCES

- van Zuuren EJ, Graber MA, Hollis S, Chaudhry M, Gupta AK, Gover M. Interventions for rosacea. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2005; issue 3. Available at: http:// www.mrw.interscience.wiley.com/cochrane/clsysrev/articles/ CD003262/frame.html. Accessed July 20, 2005.
- Crawford GH, Pelle MT, James WD. Rosacea, I: etiology, pathogenesis, and subtype classification. J Am Acad Dermatol 2004;51:327-41.
- Wilkin J, Dahl M, Detmar M, Drake L, Feinstein A, Odom R, et al. Standard classification of rosacea: report of the National Rosacea Society expert committee on the classification and staging of rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol 2002;46:584-7.

- 4. Wilkin J, Dahl M, Detmar M, Drake L, Liang MH, Odom R, et al. Standard grading system for rosacea: report of the National Rosacea Society expert committee on the classification and staging of rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol 2004;50:907-12.
- Michel JL, Cabibel F. Frequency, severity and treatment of ocular rosacea during cutaneous rosacea [French]. Ann Dermatol Venereol 2003;130:20-4.
- Berg M, Liden S. An epidemiological study of rosacea. Acta Derm Venereol 1989;69:419-23.
- 7. Jansen T, Plewig G. Rosacea: classification and treatment. J R Soc Med 1997;90:144-50.
- Kligman AM. A personal critique on the state of knowledge of rosacea. Dermatology 2004;208:191-7.
- 9. Landow K. Unraveling the mystery of rosacea: keys to getting the red out. Postgrad Med 2002;112:51-8, 82.
- Bikowski JB, Goldman MP. Rosacea: where are we now? J Drugs Dermatol 2004;3:251-61.
- Del Rosso JQ. The use of topical azelaic acid 15% gel or metronidazole 0.75% gel for the treatment of rosacea: evaluation of a single-site comparative trial subset [abstract]. J Am Acad Dermatol 2004;50:P174.
- Ertl GA, Levine N, Kligman AM. A comparison of the efficacy of topical tretinoin and low-dose oral isotretinoin in rosacea. Arch Dermatol 1994;130:319-24.
- Espagne E, Guillaume JC, Archimbaud A, Baspeyras M, Boitier F, Bussière M, et al. Double-blind study versus excipient of 0.75% metronidazole gel in the treatment of rosacea [French]. Ann Dermatol Venereol 1993;120:129-33.
- Odom RB. The subtypes of rosacea: implications for treatment. Cutis 2004;73:9-14.
- Powell FC. The histopathology of rosacea: 'where's the beef?' Dermatology 2004;209:173-4.
- 16. Rebora A. Rosacea. J Invest Dermatol 1987;88(Suppl):56s-60s.
- 17. Shalita A, Leyden J. Mechanism-based selection of pharmacologic agents for rosacea. Cutis 2004;73:15-8.
- Signore RJ. A pilot study of 5 percent permethrin cream versus 0.75 percent metronidazole gel in acne rosacea. Cutis 1995;56: 177-9.
- 19. Wilkin JK, DeWitt S. Treatment of rosacea: topical clindamycin versus oral tetracycline. Int J Dermatol 1993;32:65-7.
- 20. Wolf JE Jr. The role of topical metronidazole in the treatment of rosacea. Cutis 2004;73:19-28.
- Dahl MV, Katz HI, Krueger GG, Millikan LE, Odom RB, Parker F, et al. Topical metronidazole maintains remissions of rosacea. Arch Dermatol 1998;134:679-83.
- 22. Maddin S. A comparison of topical azelaic acid 20% cream and topical metronidazole 0.75% cream in the treatment of patients with papulopustular rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol 1999;40:961-5.
- Nielsen PG. A double-blind study of 1% metronidazole cream versus systemic oxytetracycline therapy for rosacea. Br J Dermatol 1983;109:63-5.
- 24. Pelle MT, Crawford GH, James WD. Rosacea, II: therapy. J Am Acad Dermatol 2004;51:499-512.
- Curtin F, Elbourne D, Altman DG. Meta-analysis combining parallel and cross-over clinical trials, II: binary outcomes. Stat Med 2002;21:2145-59.
- Bamford JT, Tilden RL, Blankush JL, Gangeness DE. Effect of treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on rosacea. Arch Dermatol 1999;135:659-63.
- Barnhorst DA Jr, Foster JA, Chern KC, Meisler DM. The efficacy of topical metronidazole in the treatment of ocular rosacea. Ophthalmology 1996;103:1880-3.
- Bartholomew RS, Reid BJ, Cheesebrough MJ, MacDonald M, Galloway NR. Oxytetracycline in the treatment of ocular rosacea: a double-blind trial. Br J Ophthalmol 1982;66:386-8.

- 29. Bitar A, Bourgouin J, Doré N, Dubuc R, Giroux J, Landry M, et al. A double-blind randomized study of metronidazole (Flagyl) 1% cream in the treatment of acne rosacea, a placebo controlled study. Drug Invest 1990;2:242-8.
- Bjerke J, Nyfors A, Austad J, Rajka G. Metronidazole (Elyzol) 1% cream vs. placebo cream in the treatment of rosacea. Clin Trials J 1989;26:187-94.
- Bjerke R, Fyrand O, Graupe K. Double-blind comparison of azelaic acid 20% cream and its vehicle in treatment of papulopustular rosacea. Acta Derm Venereol 1999;79:456-9.
- 32. Bleicher PA, Charles JH, Sober AJ. Topical metronidazole therapy for rosacea. Arch Dermatol 1987;123:609-14.
- Breneman DL, Stewart D, Hevia O, Hino PD, Drake LA. A double-blind, multicenter clinical trial comparing efficacy of once-daily metronidazole 1 percent cream to vehicle in patients with rosacea. Cutis 1998;61:44-7.
- 34. Breneman D, Savin R, VandePol C, Vamvakias G, Levy S, Leyden J. Double-blind, randomized, vehicle-controlled clinical trial of once-daily benzoyl peroxide/clindamycin topical gel in the treatment of patients with moderate to severe rosacea. Int J Dermatol 2004;43:381-7.
- Carmichael AJ, Marks R, Graupe KA, Zaumseil RP. Topical azelaic acid in the treatment of rosacea. J Dermatol Treat 1993;4(Suppl):S19-22.
- 36. Elewski BE, Fleischer AB Jr, Pariser DM. A comparison of 15% azelaic acid gel and 0.75% metronidazole gel in the topical treatment of papulopustular rosacea: results of a randomized trial. Arch Dermatol 2003;139:1444-50.
- Grosshans E, Michel C, Arcade B, Cribier B. Rilmenidine in rosacea: a double-blind study versus placebo [French]. Ann Dermatol Venereol 1997;124:687-91.
- Jorizzo JL, Lebwohl M, Tobey RE. The efficacy of metronidazole 1% cream once daily compared with metronidazole 1% cream twice daily and their vehicles in rosacea: a double-blind clinical trial. J Am Acad Dermatol 1998;39:502-4.
- Koçak M, Yağli S, Vahapoğlu G, Ekşioğlu M. Permethrin 5% cream versus metronidazole 0.75% gel for the treatment of papulopustular rosacea: a randomized double-blind placebocontrolled study. Dermatology 2002;205:265-70.
- 40. Marks R, Ellis J. Comparative effectiveness of tetracycline and ampicillin in rosacea: a controlled trial. Lancet 1971;2: 1049-52.
- Montes LF, Cordero AA, Kriner J, Loder J, Flanagan AD. Topical treatment of acne rosacea with benzoyl peroxide acetone gel. Cutis 1983;32:185-90.
- 42. Nielsen PG. Treatment of rosacea with 1% metronidazole cream: a double-blind study. Br J Dermatol 1983;108: 327-32.
- Özturkcan Ş, Ermertcan AT, Sahin MT, Afçar FŞ. Efficiency of benzoyl peroxide-erythromycin gel in comparison with metronidazole gel in the treatment of acne rosacea. J Dermatol 2004;31:610-7.
- 44. Pye RJ, Burton JL. Treatment of rosacea by metronidazole. Lancet 1976;1:1211-2.
- 45. Saihan EM, Burton JL. A double-blind trial of metronidazole versus oxytetracycline therapy for rosacea. Br J Dermatol 1980;102:443-5.
- 46. Sauder D, Miller R, Gratton D, Danby W, Griffiths C, Phillips S. The treatment of rosacea: the safety and efficacy of sodium sulfacetamide 10% and sulfur 5% lotion (Novacet) is demonstrated in a double-blind study. J Dermatol Treat 1997;8: 79-85.
- 47. Sneddon IB. A clinical trial of tetracycline in rosacea. Br J Dermatol 1966;78:649-52.
- Tan JK, Girard C, Krol A, Murray HE, Papp KA, Poulin Y, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of metronidazole 1%

cream with sunscreen SPF 15 in treatment of rosacea. J Cutan Med Surg 2002;6:529-34.

- 49. Thiboutot D, Thieroff-Ekerdt R, Graupe K. Efficacy and safety of azelaic acid (15%) gel as a new treatment for papulopustular rosacea: results from two vehicle-controlled, randomized phase III studies. J Am Acad Dermatol 2003;48:836-45.
- 50. Veien NK, Christiansen JV, Hjorth N, Schmidt H. Topical metronidazole in the treatment of rosacea. Cutis 1986;38: 209-10.
- Leyden JJ, Thiboutot D, Shalita A. Photographic review of results from a clinical study comparing benzoyl peroxide 5%/clindamycin 1% topical gel with vehicle in the treatment of rosacea. Cutis 2004;73:11-7.
- 52. Aitken G. Acne rosacea: efficacy of a metronidazole cream [French]. Presse Med 1983;12:1490-1.
- Aizawa H, Niimura M. Oral spironolactone therapy in male patients with rosacea. J Dermatol 1992;19:293-7.
- Aronson IK, Rumsfield JA, West DP, Alexander J, Fischer JH, Paloucek FP. Evaluation of topical metronidazole gel in acne rosacea. Drug Intell Clin Pharm 1987;21:346-51.
- 55. Bernstein JE, Soltani K. Alcohol-induced rosacea flushing blocked by naloxone. Br J Dermatol 1982;107:59-61.
- Bjerke JR. Rosacea: clinical features and treatment [Norwegian]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 1989;109:2295-7.
- 57. Blom I, Hornmark AM. Topical treatment with sulfur 10 per cent for rosacea. Acta Derm Venereol 1984;64:358-9.
- Bukvić-Mokos Z, Basta-Juzbašić A, Barašić-Druško V. Treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in the management rosacea. Acta Dermatovenerol Croat 1998;6:185-8.
- 59. Cunliffe WJ, Dodman B, Binner JG. Clonidine and facial flushing in rosacea. Br Med J 1977;1:105.
- Dahl MV, Jarratt M, Kaplan D, Tuley MR, Baker MD. Once-daily topical metronidazole cream formulations in the treatment of the papules and pustules of rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol 2001;45:723-30.
- De Witt S, Wilkin J. Double blind, parallel study of efficacy and safety of clindamycine lotion in the treatment of rosacea. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1987;41:176.
- 62. Dreno B, Dubertret L, Naeyaert J, De La Brassine M, Marks R, Powell F, et al. Comparison of the clinical efficacy and safety of metronidazole 0.75% cream with metronidazole 0.75% gel in the treatment of rosacea. P373. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 1998;11(Suppl):S272-3.
- Erdogan FG, Yurtsever P, Aksoy D, Eskioglu F. Efficacy of lowdose isotretinoin in patients with treatment-resistant rosacea. Arch Dermatol 1998;134:884-5.
- 64. Fernandez-Obregon A. Oral use of azithromycin for the treatment of acne rosacea. Arch Dermatol 2004;140:489-90.
- Frigerio G, Mazzoni P, Ferrari V. Dimensions of the sample and power of the clinical experimentation in a study of antibiotic therapy [Italian]. Boll Chim Farm 1969;108:506-14.
- 66. Frucht-Pery J, Sagi E, Hemo I, Ever-Hadani P. Efficacy of doxycycline and tetracycline in ocular rosacea. Am J Oph-thalmol 1993;116:88-92.
- Go MJ, Wuite J. Comparative study of triamcinolone acetonide and hydrocortisone 17-butyrate in rosacea with special regard to the rebound phenomenon. Dermatologica 1976;152:239-46.
- Goldsmith MF. New topical therapy for acne rosacea offers conspicuous improvement, no systemic effects. JAMA 1989; 261:2014-5.
- 69. Guillet B, Rostain E, Powell F, Gimenez Camarasa C, Dahan E, Piérard G, et al. Metronidazole 0.75% gel and lotion are both effective in the treatment of rosacea. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 1999;12(Suppl):S145.
- Hofer T. Continuous 'microdose' isotretinoin in adult recalcitrant rosacea. Clin Exp Dermatol 2004;29:204-5.

- van Zuuren et al **115**
- 71. Irvine C, Kumar P, Marks R. Acne and related disorders proceedings of an international symposium. London: Marks R, Plewig G, and Dunitz Ltd; 1988. pp. 301-5.
- 72. Koch R, Wilbrand G. Dark sulfonated shale oil versus placebo in the systemic treatment of rosacea. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 1999;12(Suppl):S143-4.
- Lebwohl M, Medansky R, Russo C, Plott R. The comparative efficacy of sodium sulfacetamide 10%/sulfur 5% (Sulfacet-R) lotion and metronidazole 0.75% (metrogel) in the treatment of rosacea. J Geriatr Dermatol 1995;3:183-5.
- Loo W, Ayyalaraju A, Chawla M, Finlay A, Coles E, Marks R. Ivermectin cream in rosacea: comparison with metronidazole gel [abstract]. Br J Dermatol 2004;151:61.
- Nasir MA. Treatment of rosacea with tetracycline and metronidazole—a comparative study. J Pak Med Assoc 1985;35:148-9.
- 76. Nielsen PG. The relapse rate for rosacea after treatment with either oral tetracycline or metronidazole cream. Br J Dermatol 1983;109:122.
- 77. Sanchez J, Somolino A, Webster G, Bradshaw M. Combined effect of doxycycline hyclate 20 mg tablets and metronidazole 0.75% topical lotion in the treatment of rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol 2004;50:48.
- Schachter D, Schachter R, Long B, Shiffman N, Lester R, Miller S, et al. Comparison of metronidazole 1% cream versus oral tetracycline in patients with rosacea. Drug Invest 1991;3: 220-4.
- Seal DV, Wright P, Ficker L, Hagan K, Troski M, Menday P. Placebo controlled trial of fusidic acid gel and oxytetracycline for recurrent blepharitis and rosacea. Br J Ophthalmol 1995; 79:42-5.
- Torresani C, Pavesi A, Manara GC. Clarithromycin versus doxycycline in the treatment of rosacea. Int J Dermatol 1997; 36:942-6.
- Utaş S, Ünver Ü. Treatment of rosacea with ketoconazole. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 1997;8:69-70.
- Van Landuyt H, Joubert-Lequain I, Humbert P, Lucas A, Drobacheff C, Mercier M, et al. Treatment of rosacea: clonidine (0.075 mg per day) versus placebo (initial results) [French]. Ann Dermatol Venereol 1997;124:729.
- 83. Veien NK. Metronidazole cream for the local treatment of rosacea [Danish]. Ugeskr Laeger 1988;150:381-2.
- Veraldi S, Scarabelli G, Rizzitelli G, Caputo R. Treatment of rosacea fulminans with isotretinoin and topical aclometasone dipropionate. Eur J Dermatol 1996;6:94-6.
- 85. Wilkin JK. Effect of nadolol on flushing reactions in rosacea. J Am Acad Dermatol 1989;20:202-5.
- Monk B, Logan R, Cook J, White J, Mason R. Topical metronidazole in the treatment of rosacea. J Dermatol Treat 1991;2: 91-3.
- Verea Hernando M, Margusino Framiñán L, Seco Vilariño C, Feal Cortizas B, Cuña Estévez B. Comparative study of topical erythromycin and topical metronidazole in the treatment of rosacea [Spanish]. Farm Clin 1992;9:472-9.
- Altman D, Schulz K, Moher D, Egger M, Davidoff F, Elbourne D, et al. The revised CONSORT statement for reporting randomized trials: explanation and elaboration. Ann Intern Med 2001;134:663-94.
- de Groot A. De behandeling of rosacea [Treatment of rosacea]. Gebu 1998;32:101-5.
- 90. Thiboutot DM. Acne and rosacea: new and emerging therapies. Dermatol Clin 2000;18:63-71, viii.
- 91. Wilkin JK. Rosacea. Int J Dermatol 1983;22:393-400.
- 92. Wilkin JK. Rosacea: pathophysiology and treatment. Arch Dermatol 1994;130:359-62.
- 93. Bikowski JB. Subantimicrobial dose doxycycline for acne and rosacea. Skinmed 2003;2:234-45.